

Anti-D Ig Errors Case studies

2022 – 2025

You are free to use these examples in your teaching material or other presentations, but please do not alter the details as the copyright to this material belongs to SHOT

Transfusion of D-positive platelets in pregnancy during major haemorrhage (MH)

- *A 23-week pregnant patient with multiple comorbidities attended the emergency department with a gastrointestinal bleed requiring urgent transfer to theatre.*
- *The MH protocol was activated, and the transfusion of D-positive platelets was approved by the haematology consultant.*
- *Anti-D Ig was not requested by the clinicians and consequently its administration was omitted.*
- *Immune anti-D was detected later in pregnancy.*
- *No impact upon the baby was reported.*

Woman with immune anti-D given anti-D Ig

- *Immune anti-D was detected in a pregnancy after the 28/40 routine antenatal anti-D Ig prophylaxis (RAADP) and care was transferred to the fetal-maternal medicine unit (FMU).*
- *Due to gaps in the electronic patient record (EPR), the FMU team did not document a plan of care for delivery.*
- *Unaware of the immune anti-D status, the delivery team requested a kleihauer and anti-D Ig, which was declined by the laboratory.*
- *A haematology registrar supporting the laboratory was unable to access clinical information from the Blood Services, which showed increasing antibody titres.*
- *Based on the information available at the time, the haematologist supported the request for anti-D Ig, resulting in an inappropriate administration.*
- *No adverse effect on the baby was reported.*

Late administration of anti-D immunoglobulin (Ig) due to emergency care and communication gaps

- *A woman was transferred from an external hospital to an intensive care unit (ICU), due to sepsis, following the birth of still born twins.*
- *The external hospital was unable to obtain cord bloods and the cell-free fetal deoxyribonucleic acid (cffDNA) prediction was not handed over to the ICU.*
- *The ICU were not informed that the woman required anti-D Ig.*

Unavailability of O D-negative emergency red cells units and knowledge gap leads to inappropriate management of a miscarriage

- *A patient became unstable during a surgical management of miscarriage with a sudden blood loss of 1.5L, a significant drop of blood pressure and increased heart rate.*
- *Due to the clinical presentation the medical team made the decision to transfuse the emergency group O D-positive units available in the satellite refrigerator.*
- *Emergency O D-negative units were not available as part of the local stock management following the activation of the national amber alert.*
- *Following the procedure the patient received 500IU anti-D Ig, but no sample was taken to estimate the volume or the requirement for additional anti-D Ig doses.*
- *The medical team assumed that the dose given for the miscarriage would be sufficient to cover the transfusion of D-positive red cells.*

Omission of anti-D Ig administration in a D-mismatched renal transplant

- *A D-negative patient of childbearing potential received a D-mismatched renal transplant (D-positive donor)*
- *The renal registrar did not complete the requirement for anti-D Ig in the patient's admission booklet*
- *This requirement was not identified by the renal or the surgical teams involved in the patient's care*
- *During the incident investigation, it was stated that the transplant nurse identified the need for anti-D Ig and this was communicated to the ward staff verbally*
- *There was no evidence of this communication in the patient's notes and no request was made to the blood transfusion laboratory*
- *The omission of anti-D Ig was identified when anti-D was detected in the patient's plasma one-month post transplant*

Delay in administering anti-D Ig

- *A woman was discharged from the labour ward following a vaginal bleed at 20+1 weeks gestation, without receiving anti-D Ig, or being advised by staff about the need for anti-D Ig*
- *No follow up was arranged. Discharge had been recommended by the consultant overseeing the care*
- *A fetomaternal haemorrhage (FMH) test had been requested but the results were not followed up by staff discharging the patient*
- *Anti-D Ig was available after the woman was discharged*
- *The plan of care and information given to the woman was not questioned by the midwife on duty, who was a new member of staff*
- *The failure to administer anti-D Ig was identified by laboratory staff who checked the blood refrigerator at 72 hours*
- *The woman was contacted by the community midwife to explain that anti-D Ig was indicated but declined to attend until the routine appointment which would have been 14 days after the potentially sensitising event (PSE)*
- *Following further discussion with a haematologist, the woman agreed to come in the next day, 6 days after the PSE to receive anti-D Ig*

D-negative mother of D-negative baby erroneously given anti-D Ig

- *A woman with a predicted D-negative fetus had a potentially sensitising event (PSE)*
- *Anti-D Ig was issued despite the cell-free fetal deoxyribonucleic acid (cffDNA) result being available*
- *Following birth an order was placed in the clinical computer system for a Kleihauer, cord bloods and anti-D Ig*
- *The system flagged a warning stating the fetus was D-negative and asking if anti-D Ig was required*
- *The midwife on duty instructed a registered nurse caring for the woman to administer anti-D Ig*
- *The anti-D Ig that had been issued for the antenatal PSE was used*
- *Neither healthcare professional had noted the earlier error or heeded the warning on the IT system*

Unfamiliarity with managing large FMH and misinterpretation of instruction

- *A large fetomaternal haemorrhage (FMH) of 44mL was detected following birth and 1500IU anti-D Ig was given in the first instance*
- *Upon confirmation of the FMH volume by the reference laboratory, 6500IU was advised, to be given intravenously (IV)*
- *The staff were not familiar with administering anti-D Ig IV and did not escalate this*
- *The midwife misinterpreted the instruction to give anti-D Ig within 72 hours, as to give after 72 hours, and placed the anti-D Ig in the ward refrigerator which was not temperature controlled*
- *The midwife documented their interpretation into the electronic patient record, and this was copied and pasted in the record across multiple shifts by other staff*
- *The error was detected by the charge nurse after finding the anti-D Ig in the ward refrigerator, more than 72 hours after it was due to have been administered*
- *Consultation with the reference laboratory led to a reduced dose being administered IV, after the 72-hour window had elapsed.*

Incorrect decision to omit anti-D Ig

- *During a major haemorrhage protocol activation, an adult therapeutic dose of D-positive platelets was transfused to a D-negative mother*
- *The baby's sample tested D-negative at delivery*
- *The clinical team returned the anti-D Ig because the baby was D-negative, failing to recognise the need for anti-D Ig following the transfusion of D-positive platelets*

Incorrect dose of anti-D Ig following cell salvage

- *A dose of 500IU anti-D Ig was given to a mother post delivery*
- *The laboratory was not informed that cell salvage products had been re-infused and that a 1500IU dose should have been provided*

Failure to attend appointment and no follow up

- *A D-negative mother did not receive routine antenatal anti-D Ig prophylaxis (RAADP) at 28 weeks in the community setting*
- *The mother did not attend the clinic appointment at 28 weeks, and this was not followed up by the clinical team*
- *The omission was noticed later in the pregnancy by the laboratory team*
- *The incident was reviewed, and improvement actions identified*
- *It was agreed that the community clinic would be included in the hospital patient booking system so that non-compliance could be managed electronically by sending reminders to both mother and clinic staff*

Discharge prior to administration leading to delay

- *A D-negative patient had a termination of pregnancy at 12+1 weeks*
- *Anti-D Ig was issued but not administered before the patient was discharged*
- *The ward staff realised the patient required the anti-D Ig and arranged for it to be administered 2 days after the procedure*
- *The patient then informed the clinical team that they had a positive lateral flow COVID-19 test and so were unable to attend for the appointment*
- *Confirmatory COVID-19 PCR testing was negative 2 days later and the patient attended for the anti-D Ig injection, 4 days post procedure*